UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,560	09/21/2007	Klaus Grohe	D4700-00425	4206
	7590 03/12/201 RIS LLP - Philadelphia	EXAMINER		
IP DEPARTME	ENT	YOUNKINS, KAREN L		
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/585,560	GROHE, KLAUS	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	KAREN YOUNKINS	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF 1.  ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai ., or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
periods:  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (sometiment of the proposed amendment of th</li></ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	E below); lucing or simplifying t	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.14.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.   Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Core.:		ŕ
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <a href="mailto:112 clms 12.16,18: 102(b) to Haug clm">112 clms 12.16,18: 102(b) to Haug clm</a> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	rided below or appended.		xplanation of
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit are the residence is not and the same afficient reasons.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ul> <li>10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the prior rejections of record are still deemed applicable claims are both definite and enabled by the disclosure. 9/18/2009. The applicant has further asserted that Haugrod, however it is the position of the examiner that the midiscussed in the final rejection dated 9/18/2009.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ul>	t does NOT place the application in e. In the remarks dated 3/1/2010, the However, the examiner disagrees a does not disclose a mounting brac ounting bracket 4 forms an elongat	condition for allowan ne applicant has asse s set forth in the final ket that is an extension	ce because: rted that the rejection dated on of the wall
13. Other:			

**Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)** 

/Gregory L. Huson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3751 /K. Y./ Examiner, Art Unit 3751

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100304

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly claimed limitations of "a lengthwise extension" m "extending the wall rod upwardly", "an opening along the pipe" of claims 1 and the newly claimed positioning of the outlet hose set forth in claim 12 raise potential issues of new matter and would require further consideration and/or search.